

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS - P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/737,912	12/15/2000	Peter Michael Karas	10722-31459	2437		
26702	7590 08/28/2003					
•	ANNING & MARTIN L	EXAMINER				
6000 FAIRVII SUITE 1125			NGUYEN, CUONG H			
CHARLOTTE	E, NC 28210		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3625	• • •		
			DATE MAILED: 08/28/2003	1		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/737,912

Applicant(s)

Karas et al.

Office Action Summary

Examiner

Art Unit Cuong H. Nguyen

· 3625

					_			ШШ
	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the	cover sh	eet with t	he corres	pondence addres		
A SHO THE N - Exten aft - If the be - If NO co - Failur - Any r	OR REPLY ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cer SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the rened patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.13 cation. s, a repl period	36 (a). In r y within th will apply a e, cause th	no event, he statutor will ex	nowever, of minimum pire SIX (6)	may a reply be time of thirty (30) day 6) MONTHS from to come ABANDONED	rs will the mailing date of	3).
Status 1) 🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 8, 20	002						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) X This ac						<u> </u>	
	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except	t for form	al matte			merits is	
Disposi	tion of Claims							
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>				is/are	e pending in the	application.	
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)				is/ar	e withdrawn fro	m consideratio	n.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			,		is/are allowed.		
	Claim(s) <u>1-28</u>					is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s)						to.	
8) 🗆	Claims	<u>-</u> -	are	subject	to restric	ction and/or elec	tion requiremen	nt.
Applica	tion Papers							
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objec	ted to by	the Exa	miner.			
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on		is:	a) 🗌 a	proved	b) disapprove	⊧d.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
13) □ a) □	under 35 U.S.C. § 119 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve bee	n receive n receive	d. d in Appl	ication N	lo		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	eau (PC	CT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		this National St	age	
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	c priori	ty under	35 U.S.C	. § 119((e).		
Attachm	ent(s)							
15) 💢 No	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) 🗌	Interview Su	immary (PTO	-413) Paper	No(s)		
_	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) 🗌	Notice of Inf	ormal Patent	Application	(PTO-152)		
17) 📙 Int	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) 🗌	Other:					

Status of the Claims

- 1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication received on 1/08/2002 (the Request to Rescind previous non-publication request).
- 2. Claims 1-28 are pending in this application.

Drawings

3. This application has been filed with informal drawings which currently are acceptable for examining purposes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

In general, claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tackbary et al. (US Pat. 5,960,412), (or Simpson - US Pat. 6,453,300), in view of McGurl et al. (US Pat. 5,893,080) (or in view of Kim et al., - US Pat. 5,299,295), and Lagin (US Pat. 3,783,755). In particular, each claim is rejected below within appropriate references (as listed above) depending on claimed specific limitations.

Claims 17-28 are system claims; they are analyzed below. Claims 1-16 contain analogous features to claims 17-28, although they are method claims; therefore, similar rationales & references for rejections on 35 USC 103(a) are applied.

A. Re. to claim **17**: **Tackbary** et al., or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) teaches in their summary of inventions about:

A system to order and deliver a customized greeting message, comprising:

- (a) means for selecting a graphic image for inclusion in the message (this is equivalent to a computer I/O device for selecting; i.e., a keyboard or a mouse that goes with a computer; this feature is old and well-known (see **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), Fig.7; or see **Tackbary** et al., 9:36-40);
- (b) means for specifying customized text for inclusion in the message (i.e., a computer I/O device for selecting/input; i.e., a keyboard that goes with a computer for inputting data; this feature is old and well-known, see **McGurl** et al., or see **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), Fig.7);
- (e) means for printing the messages and a negotiable payment instrument (see **McGurl** et al., Figs. 1-2 and 4:45-64; or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), Fig. 10; or see **Tackbary** et al., 9:36-40; 13:16-20); it is equivalent to a computer I/O device i.e., a printer that goes with a computer; this feature is old and well-known); and
- means for mailing the message and "extra attachments" (i.e., a negotiable payment instrument), (see **McGurl** et al. 4:59-64, or **Tackbary** et al., 9:36 50; 13:16 20), or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), 12: 3-15 "... includes the insertion of names and other references..." to a recipient (this feature is old and well-known using an email interface and the Internet);

Tackbary et al., or **Simpson** does not disclose that a negotiable payment is included in said message.

However, the examiner submits that the following claimed means would be obvious with **McGurl** et al. (or **Kim** et al.'s systems:)

- (c) means for specifying a payee for the negotiable payment (see **McGurl** et al., 4:45-59); and
- (d) means for selecting the monetary value of the negotiable payment; (see McGurl et al., claim 21 (i.e., "generating payment disbursement data based upon a request"), (the examiner submits that these references also show that part (d) of this claim is obvious e.g., see **Kim** et al. 1:13-65, 3:59 to 4:4, or see **Tackbary** et al., 6:11-17, 11:59 to 12:10, or see **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) 5:5-22).

These limitations are not inventive features because these claimed means are merely means to create/select specific information that would be included in attached messages (e.g., means for specifying a name (see **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), Figs. 5, 7), and means for selecting a money amount from stored database; these would be done with a keyboard or a mouse that goes with a computer).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement **Tackbary** et al., or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) suggestions by adding extra specific information as **McGurl** et al. disclosure (see also **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) Fig. 7) as claimed above since extra information/attachment as claimed just increase a degree of clarity of

ordering and delivery a specific message on a network (from merging different data: a check and extra information) as in pending claim 17. The claimed limitations are also obvious with **Cahill** et al. (US Pat. 5,940,844) (the examiner not relied upon this invention yet to make this rejection) where in this patent discloses that a check is merged into a document 7:57 to 8:5 and 52:5-14).

- B. Regarding claims 1, 2, 6-7: They are method claims that contain equivalent features to a use of an apparatus of claim 17; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- C. Re. to claim 20: The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 17 are incorporated.

In addition, the examiner submits that means for selecting the occasion for sending an email message is obvious (e.g., see **Tackbary et al.**, Figs. 4, 8; see **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), Figs. 6-7 about Christmas Holiday, New Year Holidays, Mother Day, Father Day, Valentine Day occasions .etc., or see **Simpson** (US Pat. 5,954,194) in the summary, disclosing "In the past, numerous cards, such a greeting or special occasion cards, have been available in a variety of shapes and sizes and with a variety of different decorative images, indicia and/or messages thereon").

- D. Regarding claims **2**, **7**: They contain features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 20; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- E. Re. to claim 18: The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 17 are incorporated.

The examiner submits that **Tackbary** et al., or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) suggests a means for displaying an input form (i.e., a computer monitor) (see **Tackbary** et al., Fig.6a, the summary of the invention; or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), Figs. 6, 8).

- F. Regarding claim 3: It contains features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 17; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- G. Re. to claim 19: The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 18 are incorporated.

The examiner submits that **Tackbary** et al., or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) suggests input information are customized/modifiable using a keyboard or a mouse that goes with a computer (see **Tackbary** et al., Fig.6a, the summary of the invention – obviously, a specific software is used to perform **Tackbary** et al.'s invention, or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), Fig.7).

- H. Regarding claim **4, 9-10**: They contain features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 19; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- I. Re. to claim 21: The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 20 are incorporated.

The examiner submits that **Tackbary** et al., or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) suggests that graphic image and customized text could be preselected (see **Tackbary** et al., Figs. 4, 6a, the summary of the invention, a

customer may pre-select available image/text or customized image/text for a proper occasion (see **Tackbary t al.**, Figs. 4, 8); or **Simpson** (US Pat.6,453,300), Fig. 7, or see **Simpson**, (US Pat. 5,954,194) in the summary, disclosing "In the past, numerous cards, such a greeting or special occasion cards, have been available in a variety of shapes and sizes and with a variety of different decorative images, indicia and/or messages thereon").

One of ordinary skill in the art would combine **Tackbary** et al., and **Simpson** suggestions to appreciate that more flexibility of a document's content is given to a customer before sending a message.

J. Re. to claim 22: The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 21 are incorporated.

The examiner submits that **Tackbary** et al., or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) suggests input information i.e., graphic image and customized text are modifiable by selections (see **Tackbary** et al., Figs. 4, 6a; or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), the summary of the invention). One of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate that more flexibility is given to a customer in sending a message's content.

- K. Regarding claims **8**, **10**: They contain features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 22; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- M. Regarding claims **4**, **10**: They contain features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 19; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.

N. Re. to claim 23: The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 17 are incorporated.

The examiner submits that a combination of **Tackbary** et al., & **McGurl** et al.(US Pat. 5,893,080 - Fig.1, ref. 30) suggests means for displaying a preview of the printed message and attached information (see also **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) Fig.9).

- O. Regarding claim 11: It contains features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 23; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- P. Re. to claim **24**: The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 17 are incorporated.

The examiner submits that **Tackbary** et al., combines with **McGurl** et al. (Fig.2, ref.66 for changing a disbursement date) would be obvious to suggests means to specify a delivery date (by input a delivery date) for the message and negotiable payment instrument (e.g., payment would be in personal check .etc.).

- Q. Regarding claim 12: It contains features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 19; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- R. Re. to claims **25, 13**: The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 17 are incorporated.

The examiner submits that **Tackbary** et al., or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300) would suggest that the recipient and the payee are the same, e.g., a

- C.O.D. feature (see **Tackbary** et al., or **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), the summary of the invention).
- S. Regarding claim 13: It contains features that are equivalent to apparatus claim 25; therefore, it is rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- T. Re. to claims **26**, **5**, **14**: The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 17 are incorporated.

The examiner submits that the recipient and the payee can be different (see **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), Fig.7, 10:7-30) (e.g., one can send an email to a receiver, and also send the same email to a payee).

- U. Regarding claim **5, 14**: They contain features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 26; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- V. Re. to claims **27, 15:** The examiner submits that information (e.g., negotiable payment instrument) can be an attachment of a message (e.g., see a pay stub perforated to a pay check, US Pat. 3,783,755, Figs. 1-2).
- X. Regarding claim **15**: It contains features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 27; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.
- Y. Re. to claim 28: It is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tackbary et al. (US Pat. 5,960,412), (or Simpson US Pat. 6,453,300), in view of McGurl t al. (US Pat. 5,893,080) (r in view of Kim et al., US Pat. 5,299,295), and further in view of Lagin (US. Pat. 3,783,755).

The rationales and reference(s) for 35 USC 103(a) rejection of claim 17 are incorporated.

The examiner submits that information (e.g., a message & a negotiable payment box) could be printed on the same paper form (for perforating – claim 27 analogously comprises this feature - e.g., a pay stub perforated to a pay check, see Lagin, Figs. 1-2).

It would be obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art to combine

Tackbary et al., **Simpson** (US Pat. 6,453,300), McGurl et al. with an idea of

Lagin because all above applications are directed toward distributing a

document, and doing perforation for such a document would be convenient in

printing and saving paper's space.

Z. Regarding claim **16**: It contains features that are equivalent to a use of an apparatus of claim 28; therefore, they are rejected on 35 USC 103(a) with similar rationales and references set forth.

Conclusion

- 5. Claims 1-28 are not patentable.
- 6. The attached prior art are pertinent to claimed subject matter of this pending application:
- Cahill et al. (US Pat. 5,940,844) where in this patent discloses that a check is merged into a document 7:57 to 8:5 and 52:5-14).
- Lagin (US Pat. 3,783,755 1/08/1974), Apparatus for applying indices to sheets wherein this patent obviously comprises pending claims' 27-28 features.

- **Dotson** et al., (US Pat. 5,029,901 7/09/1991), Confidential Information bearing article wherein this patent obviously comprises pending claims' 27-28 features (see Figs. 2-3).
- **Kim et al.**, (US Pat. 5,299,295 3/29/1994), Method and apparatus for electronically viewing, printing, and registering checks.
- Simpson; William S. (US Pat. 6,453,300 published on September 17, 2002), teaches a personalized greeting card with electronic storage media and method of personalizing same; wherein a first person can produce a customized gift for a second person; obtaining data from the first person which relates to the second person (i.e., sender's information); using the data to generate a customization code; providing the gift and the customization code to the second person; causing the control program to request the customization code from the second person (i.e., receiver's information); and using the customization code to select information from the non-customized information to provide a customized display to the second person. The gift is preferably purchased and customized through an Internet website (Filed: August 19, 1999; Current U.S. Class: 705/26.
- Tackbary, et al. (US Pat. 5,960,412 published on September 28, 1999) teach a method and an apparatus for communicating with a card distribution center for management, selection, and delivery of social expression cards; wherein a customer can communicate to a card distribution center for selecting, ordering, and sending social expression cards using a personal computer. The user can enter names and addresses of card recipients into the system wherein the information is maintained in a database. The system displays digitized images of

the cards on a display screen which are retrieved from a card database. From the cards displayed, the user can select cards for designated recipients and enter personalized messages and a digitized signature (this can be a preview feature). The user may then send the order to a card distribution center, which processes the order, retrieves and prints the selected card images, including any user messages or user signature, and mails the cards to designated recipients or customers. The system maintains a database of all recipients, addresses, associated occasions and dates, card preferences, relationships and order history (Filed: September 6, 1996, Current U.S. Class: 705/27; 700/233; 700/235; 705/26).

- William E. Simpson, (US Pat. 5,954,194 published on September 21, 1999, discloses a gemstone gift card with video or audio device carrier (Filed: December 10, 1997 Current U.S. Class: 206/96).
- West; James H. US Pat. 6,011,833 January 4, 2000, teach a talking bouquet, wherein a service enabling a sending party to arrange through use of an organization offering this service for physical delivery of a gift such as a bouquet of flowers to a receiving party, the gift being accompanied by a card (analogous to an attachment in this pending application) which directs the receiving party to receive by telephone a recorded personalized message from the sending party (this would be analogous to a printed customized message about information containing a sender/a receiver, detailed information e.g., payment .etc.), (Filed: August 11, 1998 Current U.S. Class: 379/88.25).

- Milton E. Vallaire, (US Pat. 5,971,273 published on October 26, 1999), discloses an automated florist system allowing direct contact with delivering florist, containing an order taking control unit for automated vending and order placements specifically for flowers and gift items. The system allows a customer to select from a variety of pre-made flower arrangements (this is analogous to a subject matter of customized messages on a printed card) from discrete cells equipped with the necessary refrigeration for immediate purchase, or, alternatively, a selection may be made, and information entered, for remote delivery at a specified time and place (note displayed "order form"). An order can be done from a server sending of said order to an affiliated florist for a distant delivery is fully automated (Filed: September 24, 1997, Current U.S. Class: 235/381).
- **Carlson** et al. (US Pat. 4,758,714), teach a computer system that let a user to access & operate a negotiable instrument at a POS for an electronic fund transfer.
- "Money Order Dispensing Boost Security", May 14, 1986, American Banker, vol. 151, No. 95, Dialog file 625, Accession No. 0052059.
- **Jerry Lansky**, "Without APS, Photo Life Goes on Via Internet", Photographic Trade News, p. 22, Aug. 1996.
- From http://www.findarticles.com, Bottom line Technologies launches Internet Payment solution PayBase –Secure WebPay Series, Business Wire, 12/17/1998.
- From http://www.findarticles.com, PayMaxx launches POWERPayroll.com version 3.0 Business Wire, 9/15/2000.

- From http://www.findarticles.com, PayMaxx introduces PayView.com; Internet payroll pioneer offers customers another value-added tool Business Wire, 1/06/2000.
- From http://www.findarticles.com, PayMaxx launches instant W-2; employees now able to retrieve replacement W-2s instantly via the Internet Business Wire, 10/23/2000.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cuong H. Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-4553. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:00 AM to 3:15 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ms. Wynn Coggins, can be reached on (703)308-1344.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Amendments

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703)305-7687

[Official communications]

or 703-746-5572 (RightFax)

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist with a telephone number: (703)308-1113.

Chonshaguyen
Primary Examiner
August 18, 2003